Federal Bureau of Investigation

450 Golden Gate Avenue, 13th. Floor San Francisco, California 94102-9523 (415) 553-7400

6	1.) James Alvin Sablan Sr.,) Case No.:
	2.) Sarah Lorraine Matlock,)
7	(Also Known As Sarah Matlock Funes On All) Under Color Of Law Complaint Pursuant To:
	Montana Legal Documents),)
в	Pro Se, In Propria Persona,) 1) United States Code Title 18, Part-1 Chapter-
-	Phone: 415-410-5519) 13 Section 241 (Conspiracy Against Rights).
9	4100 Petrified Forest Road)
	Calistoga California 94515,) 2) United States Code Title 18, Part-1 Chapter-
0	Plaintiffs, Pro Se,) 13 Section 242 (Deprivation of Rights Under
) Color of Law).
1	Vs.)
Ξ.	1.) Deputy County Attorney) 3) United States Code Title 18, Part-1 Chapter-
2	Carolyn A. Clemens) 47 Section 1001 (False Statements or Entries
	Phone: 406-447-8221) Generally).
3	Lewis and Clark County)
	Courthouse – 228 Broadway) 4) United States Code Title 42 Chapter 136
4	Helena, MT 59601,) Subchapter IX Part B Section 14141 (Pattern
	2.) State of Montana Defendants, et al,) and Practice).

Prima Facie Under Color Of Law

Parents of James Matlock Funes: James A. Sablan Sr. and Sarah Matlock

This is an Under Color of Law Complaint:

We, James A. Sablan Sr. and Sarah Matlock, have already written to Deputy Attorney Carolyn A. Clemens to set the record straight regarding all facts and

issues concerning the case and guardianship of James Matlock Funes for which she

petitioned the Court and was also appointed in Helena, Montana on November 5, 2007.

The facts of this case are as follows:

Exhibit A

 The mother of the baby, Sarah Matlock, was hospitalized due to a case of preeclampsia and in order to recover from five grand mal seizures and her subsequent C-section delivery, which cured her of these seizures. Delivery of the baby is the only cure of preeclampsia.

During her stay at the University of Utah Hospitals and Clinics, she had just awakened from a medically induced coma, and was being questioned during this time. Social worker Jim Abrahamson called her at the hospital shortly after she awoke from anesthesia.

I, James A. Sablan Sr., am her Power of Attorney and father of the child, and had informed Mr. Jim Abrahamson of this fact prior to him calling Sarah while she was incapacitated due to coming out of a medically induced coma.

Jim Abrahamson, being duly informed of the fact that I was/am her Power of Attorney, should have directed all questioning through me until Sarah Matlock was released from the hospital. Said coma would render anyone temporarily incoherent, as any medical doctor would testify.

Allegations of "evasiveness" and also alleged "concerns" about "mental status" are

incorrect, due to the fact that she was acting under her Power of Attorney's advice to exercise her Constitutional Fifth Amendment Right while incapacitated. Once again, said coma would render anyone temporarily incoherent, as any medical doctor would testify.

This allegation constitutes an intentional deprivation of said right under the Fifth Amendment, and constitutes disparate treatment.

The Fifth Amendment states:

No person shall be held to answer for a capital, or otherwise infamous crime, unless on a presentment or indictment of a Grand Jury, except in cases arising in the land or naval forces, or in the Militia, when in actual service in time of War or public danger; nor shall any person be subject for the same offence to be twice put in jeopardy of life or limb; nor shall be compelled in any criminal case to be a witness against himself, nor be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor shall private property be taken for public use, without just compensation.

All questions were to be directed through her Power of Attorney, as noted as St. Peter's Hospital in Helena, Montana. All papers were signed by me as Power of Attorney. James A. Sablan, Sr., is the one named as responsible for all legal and medical issues under this Power of Attorney document. I am attaching my Power of Attorney forms for your viewing.

Sarah Matlock was released from the hospital in a competent state, and testified in the First Judicial District Court in Helena, Montana while the case was being dismissed and a guardianship appointed.

Exhibit B

2.) Social worker Jim Abrahamson handed us paperwork at the end of our court hearing where he typed in a fraudulent abuse/neglect charge. Mr. Abrahamson claims that we neglected our child during the time that he was at Great Falls Hospital. This is not an accurate claim. Therefore also, the designation of our baby as being a "Youth in Need of Care" was false.

Social worker Jim Abrahamson specifically told the father, James A. Sablan Sr., that he was not allowed to go to the hospital and visit the baby unless we "went through (him)", which was not in our constitutional best interest.

Not having received his permission and also being unable to live/ travel to the Great Falls area in order to see our baby every day anyway, this became an impossibility.

Also, we were told that the baby would be returned back to Helena, Montana to be maintained at St. Peter's Hospital if he needed extended care (more than a week or two). This turned out not to be the case, however, because our baby was not brought back to Helena at any time.

There was no true emergency in this case. Social worker Jim Abrahamson fabricated a neglect charge MCA §41-3-102. This charge is noted on the Montana Letters of Guardianship papers.

Neither parent has neglected the baby at any time since his birth. James Sablan called the hospital on a constant basis to inquire regarding James Matlock Funes' welfare and the mother spoke to James Sablan on the phone as often as possible in addition to calling the hospital herself when necessary regarding James Matlock Funes' welfare.

Therefore as previously stated, there was no neglect as alleged, and neither parent was able to be in the presence of the baby during his extended stay in the hospital. Nor have the parents ever posed any imminent danger to the baby; please refer to case law listed below: Consequently, courts have recognized that a state may

constitutionally remove children threatened with imminent harm when it is justified by emergency circumstances. See, e.g., Mabe v. San Bernardino County, Dep't of Pub. Soc. Servs., 237 F.3d 1101, 1106 (9th Cir. 2001); Brokaw v. Mercer County, 235 F.3d 1000, 1020 (7th Cir. 2000); Tenenbaum, 193 F.3d at 593-94; Hollingsworth v. Hill, 110 F.3d 733, 739 (10th Cir. 1997); Jordan by Jordan v.

Jackson, 15 F.3d 333, 346 (4th Cir. 1994); cf. United States v. Edmondson, 791 F.2d 1512, 1514 (11th Cir. 1986) (allowing warrantless search and seizure in criminal cases where exigent circumstances exist). By limiting warrantless removals to true emergencies, the law "seeks to strike a balance among the rights"

and interests of parents, children, and the State." Tenenbaum, 193 F.3d at 594.

Exhibit C

ĭ

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

3. Since this Court hearing, the guardians, Alfred Richard Woodson III and Carolyn Lorraine Strong Woodson, have deprived both parents of all contact with their child, and concealed the child entirely without any legal reason whatsoever, the mother never once having seen or held her child. We have records of multiple requests for visitation.

Exhibit D

4.) Moreover, the guardians have attempted to adopt out our son without notification to us or any court, and in violation of the terms of the guardianship. The guardians took it upon themselves to perform this adoption confidentially, independently, and unlawfully, even though it is not authorized by the State of Montana which has been presently holding the guardianship without the involvement of any agency. Deputy Attorney Carolyn W. Clemens recently confirmed that this adoption is not authorized over the phone with the father, James A. Sablan, Sr.

Exhibit E

25

While a recent letter from Deputy Attorney Carolyn A. Clemens claims that Montana does not have any legal interest in this case, this is once again not an accurate statement.

Since the State of Montana holds a guardianship and has the power to rescind this guardianship and/or authority over any adoption, the State of Montana still has a legal tie/interest in the matter of the James Matlock Funes guardianship, which we have recently respectfully requested to be <u>rescinded</u>. We also asked that full custodial rights be restored to his parents as well, and that an <u>ex-parte pick-up</u> <u>order</u> be issued from Helena, Montana to the parents of James Matlock Funes.

Exhibit F

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Since becoming aware of these facts, we have informed Deputy Attorney Carolyn Clemens that the guardians that her Department appointed have been violating these U.S. Codes listed below, and that the circumstance remains <u>unrectified</u>. The guardians have continued to conceal our child in spite of our contacts and requests for visitation for approximately three weeks since the time that we have notified Deputy Attorney Carolyn A. Clemens of this ongoing problem, so the following codes still apply:

U.S. Code Title 42 The Public Health And Welfare Chapter 21 Civil Rights Subchapter I-Generally §1983.

U.S. Code Title 42 The Public Health And Welfare Chapter 21 Civil Rights Subchapter I-Generally §1985.

U.S. Code Title 42 The Public Health And Welfare Chapter 21 Civil Rights Subchapter I-Generally §1986.

U.S. Code Title 28 Part-IV Jurisdiction And Venue Chapter-85 District Courts Jurisdiction §1343.

Also, the guardians of James Matlock Funes have violated these parts of the U.S. Constitution, which are:

The Eighth Amendment, which states:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

Excessive bail shall not be required, nor excessive fines imposed, nor cruel and unusual punishments inflicted.

The Fourteenth Amendment, which states:

SECTION 1. All persons born or naturalized in the United States, and
subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States
and the State wherein they reside. No State shall make or enforce any
law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of
the United States; nor shall any State deprive any person of life,
liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any
person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws.

17 SECTION 2. Representatives shall be apportioned among the several States according to their respective numbers, counting the whole number 18 of persons in each State, excluding Indians not taxed. But when the right to vote at any election for the choice of electors for President 19 and Vice-President of the United States, Representatives in Congress, 20 the Executive and Judicial officers of a State or the members of the Legislature thereof, is denied to any of the male inhabitants 21 of such State, being twenty-one years of age, and citizens of the 22 United States, or in any way abridged, except for participation in rebellion, or other crime, the basis of representation therein shall 23 be reduced in the proportion which the number of such male citizens 24 shall bear to the whole number of male citizens twenty-one years of age in such State. 25

SECTION 3. No person shall be a Senator or Representative in Congress, or elector of President and Vice-President, or hold any office, civil or military, under the United States, or under any State, who, having previously taken an oath, as a member of Congress, or as an officer of the United States, or as a member of any State legislature, or as an executive or Judicial officer of any State, to support the Constitution of the United States, shall have engaged in insurrection or rebellion against the same, or given aid or comfort to the enemies thereof. But Congress may by a vote of two-thirds of each House, remove such disability

 SECTION 4. The validity of the public debt of the United States, authorized by law, including debts incurred for payment of pensions and bounties for services in suppressing insurrection or rebellion, shall not be questioned. But neither the United States nor any State
shall assume or pay any debt or obligation incurred in aid of insurrection or rebellion against the United States, or any claim for the loss or emancipation of any slave; but all such debts, obligations and claims shall be held illegal and void.

SECTION 5. The Congress shall have power to enforce, by appropriate legislation, the provisions of this article.

We have informed Deputy Attorney Carolyn A. Clemens of the U.S. Codes above already (note Section 1986 as well):

 ¹⁹ Title 42 U.S.C.
²⁰ The Public Health And Welfare Chapter 21-Civil Rights
²¹ Subchapter I-Generally

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

23

24

25

§1986. Action for neglect to prevent

Every person who, having knowledge that any of the wrongs conspired to be done, and mentioned in §1985 of this title, are about to be committed, and having power to prevent or aid in preventing the commission of the same, neglects or refuses so

to do, if such wrongful act be committed, shall be liable to the party injured, or his 1 legal representatives, for all damages caused by such wrongful act, which such person by reasonable diligence could have prevented; and such damages may be 2 recovered in an action on the case; and any number of persons guilty of such 3 wrongful neglect or refusal may be joined as defendants in the action; and if the death of any party be caused by any such wrongful act and neglect, the legal 4 representatives of the deceased shall have such action therefore, and may recover 5 not exceeding \$5,000 damages therein, for the benefit of the widow of the deceased, if there be one, and if there be no widow, then for the benefit of the next 6 of kin of the deceased. But no action under the provisions of this section shall be sustained which is not commenced within one year after the cause of action has 7 accrued. 8 (R.S. Sec. 1981.) 9 Codification 10 R.S. Sec. 1981 derived from act Apr. 20, 1871, ch. 22, Sec. 6, 17 Stat. 15. WAIS Document Retrieval 11 Section was formerly classified to section 48 of Title 8, Aliens and Nationality. 12 13 Due to the fact that since being informed of all the violations mentioned above, 14 Deputy Attorney Carolyn A. Clemens has done nothing to remedy the situation, 15 this now becomes an Under Color Of Law Complaint Pursuant To the following as 16 listed below: 17 1) United States Code Title 18, Part-1 Chapter-13 Section 241 (Conspiracy Against 18 Rights). 19 20 2) United States Code Title 18, Part-1 Chapter-13 Section 242 (Deprivation of 21 Rights Under Color of Law). 22 23 3) United States Code Title 18, Part-1 Chapter-47 Section 1001 (False Statements 24 or Entries Generally). 25

Page - 9

4) United States Code Title 42 Chapter 136 Subchapter IX Part B Section 14141 (Pattern and Practice).

Finally, we will attest that all statements herein (this document) are the absolute truth. We are willing to take a lie detector test, voice analysis, testify under oath, and give a handwritten signature on this document or any other document attesting to these facts upon request.

Dated This 25th Day Of April, 2008

All Rights Reserved Pursuant To:

Uniform Commercial Code - Article 1-General Provisions Part 2

§1-207 Performance or Acceptance Under Reservation of Rights.

(1) A party who with explicit reservation of rights performs or promises performance or assents to performance in a manner demanded or offered by the other party does not thereby prejudice the rights reserved.

Such words as "without prejudice", "under protest" or the like are sufficient.

(2) Subsection (1) does not apply to an accord and satisfaction.

Signed.

21

James alvin Sablan Sr.

and Lorraine Motlock

Sarah Lorraine Matlock (Also Known As Sarah Matlock Funes On All Montana Legal Documents) 25